

2. An *uprun* of a list xs is a maximal nonempty segment that is sorted in ascending order (i.e. it is a segment $xs[i \dots (j-1)]$ such that $xs[k] \leq xs[k+1]$ for $i \leq k < j-1$, and $xs[i-1] > xs[i]$ and $xs[j-1] > xs[j]$).

Write a program

```
upRuns :: Ord a => [a] -> [[a]]
```

that produces a list of all upruns in the input list.

Sample cases:

```
upRuns [] = []
upRuns [0] = [[0]]
upRuns [0..4]
    = [[0,1,2,3,4]]
upRuns [0,1,2,3,5,4]
    = [[0,1,2,3,5],[4]]
upRuns [0,1,0,1,0,1]
    = [[0,1],[0,1],[0,1]]
upRuns [0,1,2,3,0,1,0,1,2,3,4]
    = [[0,1,2,3],[0,1],[0,1,2,3,4]]
upRuns [0,1,2,3,0,0,0,1,1,0,1,2,3,4]
    = [[0,1,2,3],[0,0,0,1,1],[0,1,2,3,4]]
upRuns [5,4..0]
    = [[5],[4],[3],[2],[1],[0]]
```

3. This problem is about the word game **Stackle**, available at <https://www.stackle.fun>. In this game, you are given two 5-letter words at the start. The aim is to build as long a *stack of words* as possible. The stack is grown by adhering to these rules:
- Each word has 5 letters.
 - No letter repeats in any word.
 - To go from one word to the next, one eliminates a letter and introduces a new letter (and perhaps jumbles the order).
 - Eliminated letters cannot be used again.

- (e) Each word is a valid word in the Stackle dictionary. (We do not have access to the Stackle dictionary, but we have an approximation in the file `Dict.hs`.)

Note that since we start with a 5-letter word, and in each move we eliminate a letter, the stack can have a maximum length of 22.

There is a further *availability constraint*. There are three lists of letters given, call them \mathfrak{l}_1 , \mathfrak{l}_2 and \mathfrak{l}_3 . Each list can possibly be empty, and \mathfrak{l}_1 and \mathfrak{l}_2 usually contain at most 4 letters, and \mathfrak{l}_3 has at most 1 letter, and the lists are mutually disjoint. Letters in \mathfrak{l}_1 can appear only at the tenth word of the stack or later, letters in \mathfrak{l}_2 can appear only at the eighteenth word of the stack or later, and letters in \mathfrak{l}_3 can appear only at the twenty second word.

We define the following two *type synonyms* (a simpler name for an existing type, to improve readability):

```
type Game = (String, String, ([Char], [Char], [Char]))
type Solution = [String]
```

Write a program

```
checkStack :: Game → Solution → Bool
```

such that `checkStack gm sol` returns `True` if `sol` is a valid stack of words according to the above rules, and returns `False` otherwise.

Sample cases:

```
gm1, gm2, gm3 :: Game
gm1 = ("round", "mound", ([ 'a' ], [ 'i', 't' ], [ 'k' ]))
gm2 = ("bloke", "block", ([], [], []))
gm3 = ("flunk", "funks", ([ 'a' ], [ 'g', 'r', 'x', 'z' ], []))

sol1, sol2, sol3, nosol1a, nosol1b, nosol1c, nosol1d :: Solution
sol1 = [
    "round", "mound", "found", "wound", "hound", "dough"
    , "cough", "chugs", "cushy", "saucy", "quays", "squab"
    , "abuse", "pause", "japes", "paxes", "pales", "lazes"
    , "tales", "tiles", "lives", "likes"]
sol2 = [
    "bloke", "block", "black", "slack", "racks", "czars"
    , "chars", "scarf", "cards", "drams", "yards", "daisy"]
```

```

    , "qadis", "wadis", "divas", "staid", "taxis", "pitas"
    , "satin", "aunts", "gaunt", "jaunt"]
sol3 = [
    "flunk", "funks", "bunks", "hunks", "junks", "stunk"
    , "tunes", "quest", "suety", "cutes", "mutes", "mites"
    , "tomes", "stove", "stave", "waste", "paste", "gates"
    , "zetas", "taxes", "dates", "rates"]
nosol1a = ["round", "found", "gound"]
    -- "gound" is not a valid word in the dictionary
nosol1b = ["round", "crown"]
    -- "We are eliminating both 'u' and 'd'
nosol1c = ["round", "hound", "dough", "tough"]
    -- 't' is used before the eighteenth word
nosol1d = ["round", "hound", "dough", "cough", "couch"]
    -- 'c' is repeated twice in the last word
nosol1e = ["mound", "round", "found", "wound"]
    -- First two words must exactly match the
    -- starting words given in the game
    -- in the correct order.
partsol1 = ["round", "mound", "hound", "dough", "cough"]
    -- It is okay to stop short.
    -- This is a valid partial solution.

checkStack gm1 sol1      = True
checkStack gm1 partsol1  = True
checkStack gm1 nosol1a   = False
checkStack gm1 nosol1b   = False
checkStack gm1 nosol1c   = False
checkStack gm1 nosol1d   = False
checkStack gm1 nosol1e   = False
checkStack gm2 sol2      = True
checkStack gm3 sol3      = True

```

4. This problem is related to rational numbers and their continued fraction representation. Rational numbers are represented using the data type `Rational` in Haskell. The ratio p/q is represented using the `%` operator defined in `Data.Ratio`. Acquaint yourself with other functions defined in `Data.Ratio`, like `numerator` and `denominator`, and the function

fromIntegral. (Note: numerator rat can be positive or negative, but denominator rat is always positive.)

A finite continued fraction is any expression of the form

$$a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \cdots \frac{1}{a_n}}}$$

where a_0 is an integer and each a_i is a positive integer, for $i \geq 1$. This is succinctly represented as the list $[a_0; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n]$. (Note the semicolon after the first entry.) A finite continued fraction can be calculated to a rational of the form $\frac{p}{q}$. On the other hand, every rational number can be expressed as a finite continued fraction. For example, the rational number $\frac{42}{31}$ can be rendered as a continued fraction using the following steps:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{42}{31} &= 1 + \frac{11}{31} \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{\frac{31}{11}} \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{2 + \frac{9}{11}} \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{2 + \frac{1}{\frac{11}{9}}} \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{2 + \frac{1}{\frac{9}{11}}} \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{2 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{2}{9}}} \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{2 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{2}{9}}} \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{2 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{2}{9}}} \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{2 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{2}{9}}} \end{aligned}$$

Thus one continued fraction corresponding to $\frac{42}{31}$ is $[1; 2, 1, 4, 2]$. A continued fraction corresponding to $-\frac{26}{21}$ is $[-2; 1, 3, 5]$. The continued fraction representation is not unique. For instance, both $[0; 1, 1, 1, 1]$ and $[0; 1, 1, 2]$ represent $\frac{3}{5}$.

Define a function `computeRat :: [Integer] → Rational` that takes a *nonempty* list of integers, such that all but the first element is positive, and returns the rational number corresponding to it.

Define a function `cf :: Rational → [Integer]` that takes a rational number as input and returns a continued fraction corresponding to it.

Sample cases: (Since there are multiple answers possible for `cf`, the cases below are only indicative. We will check the correctness of your solution by actually computing the inverse and checking.)

```
cf (26%21)      = [1,4,5]
cf (-26%21)     = [-2,1,3,5]
cf (42%31)      = [1,2,1,4,2]
cf (-42%31)     = [-2,1,1,1,4,2]
computeRat [1,4,5]      = 26%21
computeRat [-1,1,1,1,1] = (-2)%5
```

5. Just like finite continued fractions represent rationals, infinite continued fractions of the form

$$a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \dots}}$$

correspond to irrational numbers. For example, the **golden ratio** $\phi = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}$ can be written as¹

$$1 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{1 + \dots}}}$$

This is represented more succinctly as $[1; 1, 1, 1, 1, \dots]$. If we truncate this list at some finite point, we get a finite rational approximation for ϕ .

Assume the following Haskell definitions:

```
phi :: Double
phi = (1 + sqrt 5) / 2

computeFrac :: Rational → Double
computeFrac x = fromIntegral (numerator x)
```

¹This can be verified by denoting the continued fraction as x and observing that $x = 1 + \frac{1}{x}$, i.e. $x^2 = x + 1$, and solving for x (and taking the positive solution).

`/ fromIntegral (denominator x)`

Write a function `approxGR :: Double → Rational` which returns a close rational approximation for the golden ratio, i.e. on input `epsilon` it returns some `r :: Rational` such that `abs (phi - computeFrac r) < epsilon`.

Note: Do not use the `approxRatio` function from `Data.Ratio`.

Sample cases: (Since there are multiple answers possible, the cases below are only indicative. We will check the correctness of your solution by actually calculating if the error is less than `epsilon`.)

```
approxGR 0.0001           = 144 % 89
approxGR 0.00000000000001 = 3524578 % 2178309
```