

Analysis II HW 1

Nirjhar Nath
nirjhar@cmi.aci.in

Solution 1:

Proof of (b) \implies (a)

Suppose the norms $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|'$ induce the same topology. We denote by $B_r(x)$ and $B'_r(x)$ the open balls of radius r centered at $x \in V$ with respect to the norms $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|'$ respectively. Since $B_1(0)$ is open with respect to $\|\cdot\|$, so it is also open with respect to $\|\cdot\|'$. Therefore, since $0 \in B_1(0)$, so there exists $a > 0$ such that $B'_a(0) \subseteq B_1(0)$.

Now choose any $v \in V$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then

$$\frac{(a - \varepsilon)}{\|v\|'} v \in B'_a(0) \subseteq B_1(0)$$

and so,

$$\left\| \frac{(a - \varepsilon)}{\|v\|'} v \right\| < 1$$

i.e.,

$$(a - \varepsilon)\|v\| < \|v\|'$$

Since this is true for every $\varepsilon > 0$, so $a\|v\| \leq \|v\|'$. A symmetric argument interchanging the role of both the norms shows that there exists $b > 0$ such that $\|v\|' \leq b\|v\|$ for every $v \in V$. Therefore, for every $v \in V$, there exist $a, b > 0$ such that

$$a\|v\| \leq \|v\|' \leq b\|v\|$$

i.e., the norms $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|'$ are equivalent.

Proof of (c) \implies (a)

Suppose (c) holds, i.e., a sequence x_n in V converges under $\|\cdot\| \iff$ it converges under $\|\cdot\|'$ and in that case the limit under each norm is the same. Let $\alpha := \inf\{\|x_n\|' \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $\beta := \sup\{\|x_n\|' \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Then α and β are finite since x_n converges under $\|\cdot\|'$. For each n , we have

$$a\|x_n\| \leq \|x_n\|' \leq b\|x_n\|$$

where $a = \frac{\alpha}{\|x_n\|}$ and $b = \frac{\|x_n\|'}{\|x_n\|} \leq \frac{\beta}{\|x_n\|}$. As $n \rightarrow \infty$, $a, b > 0$ and independent of $\{x_n\}$.

Solution 2:

(i) Suppose T is open in S . Then for any $t \in T$, $\exists r_t > 0$ such that

$$d(t, a) < r_t \text{ and } a \in S \implies a \in T$$

Define

$$V := \bigcup_{x \in X} B_{r_x}(x)$$

where $B_{r_x}(x)$ are open balls (centered at x with radius r_x) in X . We shall prove that $T = S \cap V$, as follows. We have,

$$t \in T \implies t \in S \text{ and } t \in B_{r_x}(x) \subseteq V \implies t \in S \cap V$$

Also,

$$t \in S \cap V \implies t \in S \cap B_{r_x}(x) \text{ for some } B_{r_x}(x) \implies d(t, x) < r_x \implies t \in T \text{ (as } t \in S)$$

Therefore, $T = S \cap V$.

Now we prove the other direction. Let V be an open set in X such that $T = S \cap V$. Then $t \in T \implies t \in V$. So, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the open ball $B_\varepsilon(t) \subset V$. So, $B_\varepsilon(t) \cap S \subset T$. Therefore, T is open in S .

Now we prove the next part of the problem. If T is open in S , then there exists a set V in X such that $T = S \cap V$. Since S is open in X , there exists a set U in X such that $S = X \cap U$. So we have $T = X \cap (U \cap V)$, which means that T is open in X .

Now we prove the other direction. If T is open in X , then there exists a set V in X such that $T = X \cap V$. Since S is open in X , there exists a set U in X such that $S = X \cap U$. So we have $T = S \cap (U \cap V)$, which means that T is open in S .

- (ii) The analogous statement for closed sets is “A subset T of S is closed in the metric space S if and only if there exists a set F closed in X such that $T = S \cap F$. If S is closed in X , then T is closed in $S \iff T$ is closed in X .”

Proof. If T is closed in S , then its complement in S , $S \setminus T$, is open in S . By definition of closed sets, there exists a set V in X such that $S \setminus T = S \cap V$. Since S is closed in X , there exists a set U in X such that $S = X \setminus U$. So we have $S \setminus T = X \setminus (U \cap V)$, which means that $T = X \setminus (U \cap V)^C$. Since the complement of an open set is closed, $(U \cap V)^C$ is closed in X , and so T is closed in X .

Now we prove the other direction. If T is closed in X , then its complement in X , $X \setminus T$, is open in X . By definition of closed sets, there exists a set V in X such that $X \setminus T = X \cap V$. Since S is closed in X , there exists a set U in X such that $S = X \setminus U$. So we have $X \setminus T = S \cap (U \cap V)$, which means that $T = S \setminus (U \cap V)^C$. Since the complement of an open set is closed, $(U \cap V)^C$ is closed in S , and so T is closed in S .

Solution 3:

- (i) Proof of (a) \implies (b)

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the open balls $B_{\frac{1}{n}}(x)$ of radius $\frac{1}{n}$ centered at $x \in X$. Assuming (a) is true, we have at least one point in $B_{\frac{1}{n}}(x) \cap S$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider one point x_n from every $B_{\frac{1}{n}}(x) \cap S$.

Claim. The sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges to x .

Proof. Consider any $\varepsilon > 0$. Choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $N > \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$. Then for any $n \geq N$, we have

$$d(x_n, x) < \frac{1}{n} \leq \frac{1}{N} < \varepsilon$$

i.e., x_n converges to x .

- Proof of (b) \implies (a)

Assume, to the contrary, that (b) is true but (a) is not. Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$B_\delta(x) \cap S = \phi$$

Thus, for any $a \in S, a \notin B_\delta(x)$ (i.e., $d(a, x) \geq \delta$) and any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in S , $\{x_n\}$ does not converge to x , because $d(x_n, x) \geq \delta \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. So, no sequence in S converges to x , a contradiction.

(ii) Define

$$B_r(x) \setminus \{x\} := \{t \mid d(x, t) < r, t \neq x\}$$

and call it the deleted ball of radius r centered at x . The analogues of (a) and (b) in (i) are:

- (a) The intersection of S with every deleted ball centered at x contains at least one point.
- (b) There is a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in S converging to x with $x_n \neq x \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof of (a) \implies (b)

We consider $B_{\frac{1}{n}}(x) \setminus \{x\}$ instead of $B_{\frac{1}{n}}(x)$ and the remaining is same as that of (i).

Proof of (b) \implies (a)

We consider $B_\delta(x) \setminus \{x\}$ instead of $B_\delta(x)$ and the remaining is same as that of (i).

(iii) Let

$$B'_r(c) = \{x \mid d(c, x) \leq r\}$$

To show that $B'_r(c)$ is closed, we need to show that its complement

$$X \setminus B'_r(c) = \{x \mid d(c, x) > r\}$$

is open. Let $y \in X \setminus B'_r(c)$. Then $d(c, y) > r$. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$0 < \varepsilon < d(c, y) - r$$

Then for any $z \in B_\varepsilon(y)$, by triangle inequality, we have

$$d(c, z) \geq d(c, y) - d(z, y) > d(c, y) - \varepsilon > r$$

Therefore, $z \in X \setminus B'_r(c)$. Thus, for every $y \in X \setminus B'_r(c)$, there is an open ball centered at y contained in $X \setminus B'_r(c)$, i.e., $X \setminus B'_r(c)$ is open, i.e., $B'_r(c)$ is closed.

Consider the metric space $X = \{a, b, c\}$ with the following metric:

$$d(a, b) = d(b, c) = 1, d(a, c) = 2$$

Let $r = 1$ and let $c = a$. Here, the set $\{x \mid d(c, x) \leq r\}$ is not the closure of the open ball $B_r(a)$, since it includes the point b which is not in the open ball. So, in a general metric space, the set $\{x \mid d(c, x) \leq r\}$ need not be the closure of the open ball $\{x \mid d(c, x) < r\}$.

In the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n , the closure of the set $B_r(c) = \{x \mid d(c, x) < r\}$ is the set $B'_r(c) = \{x \mid d(c, x) \leq r\}$. We denote by \overline{A} the closure of set A . We want to show that $\overline{B_r(c)} = B'_r(c)$. By the first part of this problem, we have $B'_r(c)$ is the closed set containing $B_r(c)$ and $\overline{B_r(c)}$ is the intersection of all closed sets containing $B_r(c)$. So,

$$\overline{B_r(c)} \subseteq B'_r(c) \tag{1}$$

Let $x \in B'_r(c)$. If $x \in B_r(c)$, then clearly $x \in \overline{B_r(c)}$. If $x \in B'_r(c) \setminus B_r(c)$, then we claim that x is a limit point of $B_r(c)$. We prove this as follows.

Consider the sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by

$$x_n = \frac{1}{n}c + \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)x$$

Then,

$$d(x_n, c) = \|c - x_n\| = \left\| \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)c - \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)x \right\| = \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \|c - x\| = \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)r < r$$

and hence $x_n \in B_r(c)$. Also,

$$d(x_n, x) = \|x - x_n\| = \left\| \frac{1}{n}x - \frac{1}{n}c \right\| = \frac{1}{n} \|x - c\| = \frac{1}{n}r > 0$$

and hence $d(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, i.e., $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ with $x_n \neq x \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. So, x is a limit point of $B_r(c)$ and hence $x \in \overline{B_r(c)}$. Therefore,

$$B'_r(c) \subseteq \overline{B_r(c)} \quad (2)$$

So, combining equations (1) and (2), we have $\overline{B_r(c)} = B'_r(c)$.

Solution 4:

(i) Proof of (a) \implies (b)

Suppose $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies condition (a). We need to show that $f(x_n)$ converges to $f(a)$. Take any $\varepsilon > 0$. Then for any $a \in X$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $d_X(x, a) < \delta \implies d_Y(f(x), f(a)) < \varepsilon$. If $x_n \rightarrow a$, then there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(x_n, a) < \delta \forall n \geq N$. Then $d_Y(f(x_n), f(a)) < \varepsilon$, i.e., $f(x_n)$ converges to $f(a)$ as x_n converges to a .

Proof of (b) \implies (a)

Suppose $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is such that (b) holds but (a) does not hold, i.e., there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all $\delta > 0$, there exists an x with $d_X(x, a) < \delta$ for which $d_Y(f(x), f(a)) \geq \varepsilon$. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we can set $\delta = \frac{1}{n}$, which gives an x_n with $d_X(x_n, a) < \frac{1}{n}$ so that $d_Y(f(x_n), f(a)) \geq \varepsilon$. So, x_n converges to a . But since $d_Y(f(x_n), f(a)) \geq \varepsilon$, so $f(x_n)$ does not converge to $f(a)$, a contradiction to (b). Therefore, (b) \implies (a).

(ii) Proof of (d) \implies (c')

Consider any closed set C in Y . We want to prove that $f^{-1}(C)$ is closed in X . If (d) is true, then

$$f(\overline{f^{-1}(C)}) \subseteq \overline{f(f^{-1}(C))} \quad (3)$$

We also have $f(f^{-1}(S)) \subseteq S$ for any subset $S \subseteq Y$. This is true because if $x \in f(f^{-1}(S))$, then there exists $y \in f^{-1}(S)$ such that $f(y) = x$ and since $y \in f^{-1}(S)$, so $f(y) \in S$, which gives $x \in S$. Using this result in equation (3), we have

$$f(\overline{f^{-1}(C)}) \subseteq \overline{C} = C$$

because C is closed in Y . We also have $T \subseteq f^{-1}(f(T))$ for any subset $T \subseteq X$. This is true because if $x \in T$ and $x \notin f^{-1}(f(T))$, it gives $f(x) \notin f(T)$, a contradiction since $x \in T$. Therefore, using this result, we have

$$\overline{f^{-1}(C)} \subseteq f^{-1}(f(\overline{f^{-1}(C)})) \subseteq f^{-1}(C)$$

Also since $f^{-1}(C) \subseteq \overline{f^{-1}(C)}$, so $f^{-1}(C) = \overline{f^{-1}(C)}$, i.e., $f^{-1}(C)$ is closed.

Proof of (c') \implies (d)

Consider any subset $S \subseteq X$. We need to prove that $f(\overline{S}) \subseteq \overline{f(S)}$ assuming (c') is true. Since $f(S)$ is closed in Y , therefore by (c'), $f^{-1}(f(S))$ is closed in X . Using a result of the previous proof, we get

$$S \subseteq f^{-1}(f(S)) \subseteq f^{-1}(\overline{f(S)}) \quad (4)$$

Since $f^{-1}(\overline{f(S)})$ is closed in X , so $f^{-1}(\overline{f(S)}) = \overline{f^{-1}(f(S))}$. Therefore, equation (4) becomes

$$S \subseteq f^{-1}(\overline{f(S)}) \implies f(S) \subseteq \overline{f(S)}$$

Again, using a result of the previous proof, we have

$$f(\overline{S}) \subseteq f(f^{-1}(\overline{f(S)})) \subseteq \overline{f(S)}$$

Proof that (c) and (c') are equivalent

We observe that $f^{-1}(S^C) = (f^{-1}(S))^C$ for any subset $S \subseteq Y$. This is because

$$x \in f^{-1}(S^C) \iff f(x) \in S^C \iff f(x) \notin S \iff x \notin f^{-1}(S) \iff x \in (f^{-1}(S))^C$$

If A is closed in Y , then A^C is open in Y , then $f^{-1}(A^C)$ is open in X and therefore by the previous observation, $(f^{-1}(A))^C$ is open in X . Therefore, $f^{-1}(A)$ is closed in X and hence (c) \implies (c'). Similarly, (c') \implies (c), and we are done.

- (iii) (d') For any subset T of Y , $f^{-1}(T^\circ) \subseteq (f^{-1}(T))^\circ$, where A° denotes the interior of A .

Proof of (d) \implies (d')

Suppose (d) holds, i.e., for any subset $S \subseteq X$, $f(\overline{S}) \subseteq \overline{f(S)}$. Let T be a subset of Y , and let U be an open set containing $f^{-1}(T^\circ)$. Then $f(U)$ is an open set containing T° , which means

$$f(U) \subseteq T \implies f^{-1}(f(U)) \subseteq f^{-1}(T) \implies U \subseteq f^{-1}(T)$$

which means that $f^{-1}(T^\circ) \subseteq (f^{-1}(T))^\circ$.

Proof of (d') \implies (d)

Suppose (d') holds, i.e., for any subset $T \subseteq Y$, $f^{-1}(T^\circ) = (f^{-1}(T))^\circ$. Let S be a subset of X , and let U be an open set containing \overline{S} . Then $f(U)$ is an open set containing $f(\overline{S})$, which means

$$f(U) \subseteq \overline{f(S)} \implies f^{-1}f(U) \subseteq f^{-1}(\overline{f(S)}) \implies U \subseteq f^{-1}(\overline{f(S)}) \implies \overline{S} \subseteq f^{-1}(\overline{f(S)})$$

which gives $f(\overline{S}) \subseteq \overline{f(S)}$.

Solution 5:

- (i) We consider the max metric in $X_1 \times X_2$ given by

$$d((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = \max(d((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)))$$

To show that open sets in $(X_1 \times X_2, \text{max metric})$ are precisely unions of sets of the form (open ball of $X_1 \times$ open ball of X_2), we need to prove two things:

- (a) Every open set in $X_1 \times X_2$ can be expressed as a union of sets of the form (open ball of $X_1 \times$ open ball of X_2).
- (b) Every union of sets of the form (open ball of $X_1 \times$ open ball of X_2) is an open set in $X_1 \times X_2$.

Proof of 1.

Let $B_r^{X_1 \times X_2}((x_0, y_0))$ be an open ball in $X_1 \times X_2$, of radius r centered at (x_0, y_0) . We have,

$$\begin{aligned} B_r^{X_1 \times X_2}((x_0, y_0)) &= \{(x, y) \mid \max(d_{X_1}(x, x_0), d_{X_2}(y, y_0))\} \\ &= \{(x, y) \mid d_{X_1}(x, x_0) < r, d_{X_2}(y, y_0) < r\} \\ &= B_r^{X_1}(x_0) \times B_r^{X_2}(y_0) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, every open set in $X_1 \times X_2$ can be expressed as a union of sets of the form (open ball of $X_1 \times$ open ball of X_2).

Proof of 2.

Consider a set of the form

$$B_r^{X_1}(x_0) \times B_s^{X_2}(y_0) = \{(x, y) \mid d_{X_1}(x, x_0) < r, d_{X_2}(y, y_0) < s\}$$

We want to prove that this set is open in $X_1 \times X_2$. Choose t such that

$$0 < t < \min(r - d_{X_1}(x, x_0), s - d_{X_2}(y, y_0))$$

Then

$$B_t^{X_1 \times X_2}((x, y)) = \{(x', y') \mid d_{X_1}(x, x') < t, d_{X_2}(y, y') < t\}$$

and by triangle inequality, for $(a, b) \in B_t^{X_1 \times X_2}((x, y))$, we have

$$d_{X_1}(x_0, a) \leq d_{X_1}(x_0, x) + d_{X_1}(x, a) < d_{X_1}(x_0, x) + t < r$$

and,

$$d_{X_2}(y_0, b) \leq d_{X_2}(y_0, y) + d_{X_2}(y, b) < d_{X_2}(y_0, y) + t < s$$

and hence,

$$(a, b) \in B_r^{X_1}(x_0) \times B_s^{X_2}(y_0)$$

It follows that

$$B_t^{X_1 \times X_2}((x, y)) \subseteq B_r^{X_1}(x_0) \times B_s^{X_2}(y_0)$$

i.e., the open ball $B_t^{X_1 \times X_2}((x, y))$ is contained in $B_r^{X_1}(x_0) \times B_s^{X_2}(y_0)$. Therefore, every union of sets of the form (open ball of $X_1 \times$ open ball of X_2) is an open set in $X_1 \times X_2$.

Yes, we can replace “open ball” with “arbitrary open set” of the respective X_i spaces. This is because the definition of an open set in a metric space is a set that contains an open ball around every point in the set. Hence, every open set in a metric space can be expressed as a union of open balls.

The two projection maps $\pi_1 : X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow X_1$ and $\pi_2 : X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow X_2$ are continuous.

A map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ between two metric spaces (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y) is continuous if for every open set U in Y , the preimage $f^{-1}(U)$ is an open set in X . In this case, for each open set U in X_1 (or X_2), the preimage $\pi_1^{-1}(U) = U \times X_2$ (or $\pi_2^{-1}(U) = X_1 \times U$) is an open set in $X_1 \times X_2$, because it is a product of open sets. Hence, both π_1 and π_2 are continuous maps.

(ii) Let (X, d) be a metric space and p be a fixed point in X . First, observe that the function $x \rightarrow d(p, x)$ is continuous, since for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$d(p, x) < \delta \implies |d(p, x) - d(p, y)| < \epsilon$$

Let $(x, y) \in X \times X$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Let $\delta_1 > 0$ and $\delta_2 > 0$ be such that

$$d(p, x) < \delta_1 \implies |d(p, x) - d(p, z)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \text{ and } d(p, y) < \delta_2 \implies |d(p, y) - d(p, z)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

Define $\delta = \min(\delta_1, \delta_2)$. Let $(u, v) \in X \times X$ be such that $d(x, u) < \delta$ and $d(y, v) < \delta$. Then we have $d(p, u) < \delta_1$ and $d(p, v) < \delta_2$, so

$$\begin{aligned} |d(x, y) - d(u, v)| &= |d(p, x) - d(p, u) + d(p, y) - d(p, v)| \\ &\leq |d(p, x) - d(p, u)| + |d(p, y) - d(p, v)| \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $(x, y) \rightarrow d(x, y)$ is continuous at (x, y) . Since this holds for every $(x, y) \in X \times X$, it follows that the function $(x, y) \rightarrow d(x, y)$ is continuous on $X \times X$.